NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS BENTON DIVISION

MARTELL FRANKLIN,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	No. 18-CV-1963
)	
vs.)	
)	
NATHAN BEBOUT)	
and CHARLES PEARCE,)	
)	
	Defendants.)	

COMPLAINT

- 1. This is an action for money damages brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and the common law and statutes of the State of Illinois.
- 2. Jurisdiction for Plaintiff's federal claims is based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the claims arose in this district as alleged below.

Parties

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of Chicago, Illinois. At the time of the incident alleged below, Plaintiff was an inmate at Pinckneyville Correctional Center in Pinckneyville, Perry County, Illinois.
- 5. Defendant-Officers NATHAN BEBOUT ("Defendant BEBOUT") and CHARLES PEARCE ("Defendant PEARCE") are duly appointed and sworn Illinois Department of Corrections Correctional Officers. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendant-Officers were acting in the course and scope of their employment, and under color of state law, ordinance and/or regulation.
 - 6. The Defendant-Officers are sued in their individual capacities.

Facts

- 7. On October 15, 2016, Plaintiff was housed in Pinckneyville Correctional Center.
- 8. At approximately 1:35 p.m. on October 15, 2016, Plaintiff got into a verbal argument with DUSTIN FLEMING.
- 9. After the argument, Plaintiff was transferred to the segregation unit of Pinckneyville Correctional Center.
- 10. DUSTIN FLEMING called over to the segregation unit and spoke with Defendant PEARCE about Plaintiff and what transpired.
- 11. Defendant PEARCE told DUSTIN FLEMING to come over to the segregation unit and "take care" of the incident with Plaintiff.
- 12. DUSTIN FLEMING understood that to mean that Defendant PEARCE was going to allow him to come over to segregation and rough up Plaintiff in retaliation for the argument.
- 13. Defendant PEARCE was the Lieutenant for the segregation unit and was the officer in charge of the unit.
- 14. When DUSTIN FLEMING got to the segregation unit, TYSON SHURTZ told DUSTIN FLEMING to follow him to Plaintiff's cell.
 - 15. TYSON SHURTZ approached Plaintiff's cell and told Plaintiff to cuff up.
 - 16. Plaintiff complied and allowed TYSON SHURTZ to cuff him.
 - 17. Once Plaintiff's was secured in handcuffs, his cell door was opened.
- 18. After Plaintiff's cell door was open, DUSTIN FLEMING entered Plaintiff's cell and began to attack him.
- 19. DUSTIN FLEMING punched Plaintiff and knocked him to the ground where he kicked Plaintiff in the stomach.
- 20. Defendant BEBOUT along with TYSON SHURTZ and TIMOTHY GILLEY stood by and watched as DUSTIN FLEMING attacked Plaintiff.
- 21. Defendant BEBOUT along with TYSON SHURTZ and TIMOTHY GILLEY allowed DUSTIN FLEMING into Plaintiff's cell to attack Plaintiff while he was secured in handcuffs.
 - 22. While kicking Plaintiff, DUSTIN FLEMING used a racial slur to refer to

Plaintiff.

- 23. After the attack, Plaintiff reported the incident and complete an Offender Injury Report.
 - 24. Plaintiff also filed a grievance regarding the attack.
- 25. Each individual Defendant-Officer acted willfully and wantonly, maliciously, and with a conscious disregard and deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's rights.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of the Defendants described above, Plaintiff suffered damages including physical pain and suffering, emotional distress.

COUNT I (42 U.S.C. §1983 – Failure to Intervene)

- 24. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 26 as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. While Plaintiff was subjected to excessive force as described above, Defendants BEBOUT and PEARCE had an opportunity to intervene, but chose not to intervene.
- 26. Defendants BEBOUT and PEARCE were deliberately indifferent to Plaintiff's right to be free from excessive and unreasonable force.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks this Honorable Court:

- a) Enter judgment against Defendants BEBOUT and PEARCE,
- b) Award Plaintiff compensatory and punitive damages,
- c) Award attorneys' fees and costs, and
- d) Award any further relief that this Honorable Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Louis J. Meyer One of Plaintiff's Counsel

Louis J. Meyer
Daniel P. Kiss
Meyer & Kiss, LLC
53 West Jackson Boulevard
Suite 1735
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312)765-0100
louismeyer@meyerkiss.com
dankiss@meyerkiss.com